Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/849,994	BOWEN, THOMAS C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David D. Le	3681
All Participants:	Status of Application	l:
(1) <u>David D. Le</u> .	(3)	
(2) Philip E. Rettig.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 4, 11, 15, 19, and 21		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequirectly in the sequinal content of the sequirectly in the sequire</li></ul>	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 09 January 2006, applicant's attorney telephonically elected the embodiment of Fig. 2 (claims 1-10) for examination. Accordingly, claims 11-21 were withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species.

On 23 January 2006, applicant's attorney authorizes examiner to amended the instant application as follows: Specification:

Page 8, line 6, "cutch engagement force" has been amended as --clutch engagement force--.

## Claim 4

Line 2, "a locked four-wheel drive mode" has been amended as --a locked mode--.

## Claims 11-21:

Claims 11-21 have been cancelled.